



# **RĀRANGI TAKE AGENDA**

## **Additional Kaunihera | Council Meeting**

**I hereby give notice that a Meeting of the Kāpiti Coast District Council  
will be held on:**

**Te Rā | Date: Thursday, 2 March 2023**

**Te Wā | Time: 2.00pm**

**Te Wāhi | Location: Council Chamber  
Ground Floor, 175 Rimu Road  
Paraparaumu**

**Darren Edwards  
Chief Executive**

**Kāpiti Coast District Council**

**Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Kāpiti Coast District Council will be held in the Council Chamber, Ground Floor, 175 Rimu Road, Paraparaumu, on Thursday 2 March 2023, 2.00pm.**

**Kaunihera | Council Members**

Mayor Janet Holborow	Chair
Deputy Mayor Lawrence Kirby	Deputy
Cr Glen Cooper	Member
Cr Martin Halliday	Member
Cr Sophie Handford	Member
Cr Rob Kofoed	Member
Cr Liz Koh	Member
Cr Jocelyn Prvanov	Member
Cr Kathy Spiers	Member
Cr Shelly Warwick	Member
Cr Nigel Wilson	Member

**Order Of Business | Te Raupapa Take**

1    **Nau Mai | Welcome** ..... 5

2    **Karakia a te Kaunihera | Council Blessing**..... 5

3    **Whakapāha | Apologies**..... 5

4    **Te Tauākī o Te Whaitake ki ngā Mea o te Rārangi Take | Declarations of Interest  
Relating to Items on the Agenda** ..... 5

5    **He Wā Kōrero ki te Marea mō ngā Mea e Hāngai ana ki te Rārangi Take | Public  
Speaking Time for Items Relating to the Agenda** ..... 5

6    **Ngā Take a ngā Mema | Members’ Business** ..... 5

7    **Pūrongo | Reports**..... 6

    7.1    Te Uruhi Facility Project ..... 6

    7.2    Notice of Motion -Te Uruhi Project..... 15

8    **Te Whakaūnga o Ngā Āmiki Kāore e Wātea ki te Marea | Confirmation of Public  
Excluded Minutes** ..... 20

    Nil



## **1 NAU MAI | WELCOME**

## **2 KARAKIA A TE KAUNIHERA | COUNCIL BLESSING**

I a mātou e whiriwhiri ana i ngā take kei mua i ō mātou aroaro, e pono ana mātou ka kaha tonu ki te whakapau mahara huapai mō ngā hāpori e mahi nei mātou. Me kaha hoki mātou katoa kia whaihua, kia tōtika tā mātou mahi, ā, mā te māia, te tiro whakamua me te hihiri ka taea te arahi i roto i te kotahitanga me te aroha.

“As we deliberate on the issues before us, we trust that we will reflect positively on the communities we serve. Let us all seek to be effective and just, so that with courage, vision and energy, we provide positive leadership in a spirit of harmony and compassion.”

## **3 WHAKAPĀHA | APOLOGIES**

## **4 TE TAUĀKĪ O TE WHAITAKE KI NGĀ MEA O TE RĀRANGI TAKE | DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST RELATING TO ITEMS ON THE AGENDA**

Notification from Elected Members of:

4.1 – any interests that may create a conflict with their role as an elected member relating to the items of business for this meeting, and

4.2 – any interests in items in which they have a direct or indirect pecuniary interest as provided for in the Local Authorities (Members' Interests) Act 1968

## **5 HE WĀ KŌRERO KI TE MAREA MŌ NGĀ MEA E HĀNGAI ANA KI TE RĀRANGI TAKE | PUBLIC SPEAKING TIME FOR ITEMS RELATING TO THE AGENDA**

## **6 NGĀ TAKE A NGĀ MEMA | MEMBERS' BUSINESS**

(a) Leave of Absence

(b) Matters of an Urgent Nature (advice to be provided to the Chair prior to the commencement of the meeting)

## 7 PŪRONGO | REPORTS

### 7.1 TE URUHI FACILITY PROJECT

Kaituhi | Author: **Alison Law, Manager, Project Management Office**

Kaiwhakamana | Authoriser: **Sean Mallon, Group Manager Infrastructure Services**

### TE PŪTAKE | PURPOSE

1 This report seeks Council decision on whether to proceed with the Te Uruhi project.

### HE WHAKARĀPOPOTO | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2 This report responds to a request from Council, on 24 November 2022, to present information on the Te Uruhi project to inform a decision on whether to proceed with the project as currently proposed.

### TE TUKU HAEPAPA | DELEGATION

3 The Council has the authority to make this decision.

### TAUNAKITANGA | RECOMMENDATIONS

- A. That Council does not proceed with the Te Uruhi facility project due to significant cost escalation, which means the project is not viable to progress.
- B. That Council request officers schedule a workshop with Council to explore alternative options for the delivery of the identified project outcomes, with options to be discussed through the update of the Economic Development strategy.

### TŪĀPAPA | BACKGROUND

4 A 'Kāpiti Gateway' facility has been discussed for more than 30 years within the Kāpiti community. The facility has been proposed for the following purposes:

- improving biosecurity practices and the visitor experience to Kāpiti Island;
- strengthen our partnership with mana whenua and facilitate mana whenua story telling;
- informing visitors and the local community about Kāpiti Island and the conservation story of the island;
- promoting and enhancing Kāpiti Island as a tourist attraction and supporting the promotion of the Kāpiti Coast as a tourist destination by sharing information about other visitors experiences in the district, and
- enhancing the Kāpiti Coast experience by celebrating our rich culture and history.

5 The site, Te Uruhi, was initially settled by Ngāti Toa Rangatira chief Te Rangihaeata and his sister Rangitopeora. Te Uruhi was later re-allocated by Ngāti Toa Rangatira to various Taranaki and Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai chiefs, who became the permanent occupants of the Pā.

6 In February 2021, Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai and Ngāti Toa Rangatira gifted the name 'Te Uruhi' to the proposed Kāpiti Gateway facility. This is in recognition of the significance of the location and the events that occurred.

7 A timeline is attached as Appendix 1 to this report which details the project journey since 1992

8 Te Uruhi meets the following community outcomes in the Long-term Plan 2021-41:

- 8.1 mana whenua and Council have a mutually mana-enhancing partnership

- 8.2 our communities are resilient, safe, healthy, thriving and connected. Everyone has a sense of belonging and can access the resources and services they need
- 8.3 our local economy is prosperous with ample opportunities for people to work and learn in Kāpiti
- 9 In early 2020, Council approved a submission to government for project funding support, the estimated cost for delivering the Te Uruhi facility at the time of the application was \$4.4m. Council was successful in gaining central government funding for 50 percent of the total estimated cost (\$2.2m). The funding agreement excluded any increase in funding from central government if there were project costs increases.
- 10 In May 2022 the Council was briefed about the escalation in costs to complete the Te Uruhi facility with the estimated cost for completion rising to \$7.8m (funding from central remained at \$2.2m).
- 11 Through the 2022/23 annual plan process additional funding was approved by Council to complete the project. There was an additional resolution passed at the June 2022 Council meeting when the annual plan was adopted:

*“That the final capex budget for Te uruhi be subject to approval prior to tender by council once the outcome of the resource consenting process is known and newly updated costings have been made available and requests an additional report to that effect”.*

- 12 The following notice of motion was tabled at the Council meeting on 24 November 2022:

**MOTION**

- 1 That the Kapiti Coast District Council rescind and revoke all prior resolutions and amendments relating to the Kapiti Island Gateway – Te Uruhi project; *(see attached list of resolutions as supplied by KCDC as Under Standing Orders clause 23.1(b))*
- 2 And further moves to request the Chief Executive to withdraw all resource consent applications relating to the project;
- 3 And further moves the Chief Executive to instruct staff and contractors to cease all work on progressing the Kapiti Island Gateway – Te Uruhi project

- 13 As a result of the notice of motion, a resolution was passed as follows:

**LIE ON THE TABLE**

Cr Kirby moved a procedural motion under standing order 24.2 to let the matter lie on the table and not be discussed or debated any longer at the meeting.

Cr Kirby suggested that councillors request a report from staff to be presented with further information, potentially at the January meeting so that councillors could make an informed and decision with good governance allowing for up to date information, and consultation with mana whenua.

**RESOLUTION CO2022/144**

Moved: Cr Lawrence Kirby  
 Seconder: Cr Shelly Warwick

That the item of business, 10.1, being discussed should stand on the table and not be discussed further at this meeting until a report from staff could be presented back to council with up to date information, and consultation with mana whenua could take place.

For: Mayor Janet Holborow, Cr Kirby, Cr Handford, Cr Kofoed, Cr Spiers and Cr Warwick

Against: Cr Cooper, Cr Halliday, Cr Koh, Cr Prvanov and Cr Wilson

**CARRIED**

**HE KÖRERORERO | DISCUSSION**

**He take | Issues**

- 14 A briefing was held on 14 February 2023 with elected members which included an overview of the project and projected costs for completing the Te Uruhi facility. Mana whenua representatives were present at the briefing.
- 15 The total cost to deliver the Te Uruhi facility has increased from the original estimated budget of \$4.4m in 2020, to \$7.8m in 2022 and to \$8.4m in 2023. This total estimated budget includes:
  - The \$1.55m (\$1m cost to council) that has been spent to date on Tikotu Stream upgrade works; design costs; professional fees; resource consent costs and project management costs.
  - The 2023 increased budget estimate of \$8.4m includes a further contingency of \$600,000, which is in addition to the previous contingency provision of \$900,000.
- 16 The projected cost increase is largely due to continued significant cost escalation within the construction sector across New Zealand and the extra contingency applied to the project.
- 17 If Council agrees not to proceed with the Te Uruhi facility, officers will schedule a workshop with Council to explore options to consider how the proposed project outcomes could still be delivered. This would be delivered in alignment with the strategic visioning work underway and the refresh of the Kapiti Coast Economic Development Strategy. Consideration will be given to the role of Council, and who we need to partner with going forward to ensure intended outcomes can be met (for example, working with Department of Conservation and other central government agencies on relevant biosecurity elements).
- 18 Council has previously acknowledged that iwi hold deep connections to this location – a former pā site – and the wider area. Options will therefore include consideration for how mana whenua’s intentions can be progressed if the Te Uruhi facility doesn’t proceed. An amount of \$150,000 has been allocated to storytelling and landscaping improvements within Maclean Park. These options will be developed in partnership with mana whenua.

**Ngā kōwhiringa | Options**

**Table 1: Table Name**

<b>Kōwhiringa   Options</b>	<b>Hua   Benefits</b>	<b>Tūraru   Risks</b>
Option A (recommended) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Te Uruhi facility project does not proceed. Council staff to further explore options for delivering the proposed outcomes in alternative ways</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council does not have to fund the additional costs associated to the project</li> <li>• Council does not need to subsidise the additional operational costs of the facility</li> <li>• Trust and confidence of the Council is improved</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The current biosecurity process will not be improved</li> <li>• The visitor experience to Kāpiti Island is not improved</li> <li>• The proposed outcomes and benefits of the project will not be achieved</li> <li>• Kanoa funding will be lost</li> </ul>
Option B <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Te Uruhi facility proceeds in line with the current design and increased cost estimates</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biosecurity and visitor experience to Kapiti Island is improved</li> <li>• There is a central point for storytelling or visitor information</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council is required to cover the additional costs to the project</li> <li>• The cost of the project continues to increase</li> </ul>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kāpiti Island can be leveraged to promote further visitation within the Kāpiti Coast District</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• which would need to be funded by Council</li> <li>• The operational costs of the facility need to be significantly subsidised by Council</li> </ul>
--	---	--

**Tangata whenua**

- 19 Council has partnered with mana whenua for the duration of the project:
  - Maclean Park Management and Development Plan
  - Governance Board; selection of architect and design
  - Governance Board; design and resource consent
  - Working group (Discovery Centre and building design)
  - Resource consent hearing expert witnesses
  - Tikotu Stream works; input to resource consent
- 20 The name ‘Te Uruhi’ was gifted for the facility from iwi in acknowledgement of the deep connections of mana whenua to this location – a former pā site – and the wider area. The name will remain with the site, separate from the project.
- 21 Council has previously acknowledged that iwi hold deep connections to this location. If the Te Uruhi facility doesn’t proceed, future options will need to include consideration for how mana whenua’s intentions can be progressed.
- 22 An amount of \$150,000 has been allocated to storytelling and landscaping improvements within Maclean Park. These options will be developed in partnership with mana whenua
- 23 It was proposed that landscape around the Te Uruhi facility would include the following Whakairo elements:
  - 23.1 Tohorā Waharoa and Pou
  - 23.2 Iwi Tekoteko 3 to 4m high
  - 23.3 Ihuwaka 4 to 5m high
  - 23.4 Bridge balustrade carved elements
  - 23.5 Carved bridge Tomokanga
- 24 Mana whenua have been kept up to date regarding project progression and cost escalations.
- 25 Representatives from Ngā hapu o Ōtaki and Ātiawa ki Whakarongatai were present at the briefing on 14 February 2023. An e-mail from Ngāti Tao Rangatira in support of the Te Uruhi project was read out at the briefing, on their behalf.

**Panonitanga āhuarangi | Climate change**

- 26 There are no specific climate change implications from the proposals in this briefing.
- 27 However, if Council endorses recommendation B then:
  - 27.1 The building design takes climate change into consideration. The buildings are relocatable and would have a raised floor level (3.4m above mean sea level to underside of floor joists; which is 1.3m above the finished ground level).
  - 27.2 The existing dune provides good protection against coastal inundation and erosion and the adjacent shoreline is accreting. Advice from Jacobs Consultants is that the proposed location is unlikely to be directly affected by coastal erosion over a 30 – 50 year period.
  - 27.3 A resource consent for the facility was approved in December 2022.

**Ahumoni me ngā rawa | Financial and resourcing**

- 28 A total of \$1.55m has been spent to date on: Tikotu Stream upgrade works; design costs; professional fees; consent costs and project management costs. Of the \$1.55m spend, \$557,000 is from the IRG funding; meaning that \$1m of the spend is Council funded.
- 29 In August 2020 Council was successful in a funding application from the COVID response and recovery fund (moved from the Provincial Growth Fund) for \$2.2m towards the construction of the Te Uruhi facility.
- 30 Council has received \$1.11m from the fund and to date, \$557,000 of that has been spent on the project. It is possible that some of the unused funding will need to be paid back if the project does not proceed. This will need to be discussed further with Kanoa to fully understand the impact.
- 31 An amount of \$150,000 has been allocated to storytelling and landscaping improvements at the site from Council's first tranche of Better Off Funding.
- 32 Prior to the briefing with Council on 14 February 2023, the projected operational costs for the completed facility were reviewed, along with revenue assumptions. As a result of the significant increase in construction costs there has also been a significant impact on the projected depreciation, interest, insurance, and rating costs for the proposed facility. These combined increases resulted in an annual estimated operating and financial cost deficit of over \$500,000 per annum from the facility.

**Ture me ngā Tūraru | Legal and risk**

- 33 There are no legal considerations.

**Ngā pānga ki ngā kaupapa here | Policy impact**

- 34 There is no policy impact.

**TE WHAKAWHITI KŌRERO ME TE TŪHONO | COMMUNICATIONS & ENGAGEMENT**

- 35 Significant community engagement and consultation has been undertaken over the life of the project. Notably, the Maclean Park Management Plan in 2017 which enabled a 'Gateway' in the northern end of Maclean Park.
- 36 Over the life of the project there have been various briefings and reports received by the Council with respect to the Te Uruhi facility.

**Te mahere tūhono | Engagement planning**

- 37 Council will inform the community and key stakeholders of Council's decision regarding the Te Uruhi facility and explain the rationale for why it made that decision.
- 38 An engagement and communications plan will be developed for the exploration of the alternative options.

**Whakatairanga | Publicity**

- 39 A communications plan will be developed to inform the community and key stakeholders of Council's decision and to outline the reasons for the decision.

**NGĀ ĀPITI HANGA | ATTACHMENTS**

1. Te Uruhi Facility Timeline [↓](#)

### Te Uruhi (Kāpiti Gateway) Timeline

A discussion about a ‘gateway’ to Kāpiti Island has been taking place in Kāpiti for 30 years.

Year	Activities
1992	A feasibility study carried out by Tourism Research Consultants Ltd (TRC) concluded that a visitor centre was a viable proposition. The report stated that reinforcing the connection to the island in this way would add to the character of Kāpiti, foster community pride and create a visitor attraction that would benefit coastal retail businesses. At this stage, the Kāpiti Boating Club site was recommended for the facility.
2013	In 2013, a feasibility study by TRC – a tourism, recreation and conservation planning consultancy - analysed site options, stakeholder interests, visitor demand as well as various options for the facility. The study recommended a multi-purpose facility, including an interactive visitor centre, was developed within the Boat Club at Paraparaumu Beach.
November 2016 - December 2017	A ‘Gateway/ Visitor Attraction Centre’ was identified as a priority project in the 2017 Maclean Park Management Plan. Development of the plan was carried out in partnership with iwi and included three rounds of public consultation over a year. Key submission topics included allowing space for a Gateway/Kapiti Island Visitor Centre on the southern side of Tikotu stream for the development of a Gateway and to upgrade the Tikotu stream. The adopted Management Plan (December 2017) enabled a Kapiti Gateway specifically at the northern end of the park, including just to the south of the Tikotu Stream. The centre would be designed to enhance the park experience, improve the expression of mana whenua and conservation stories, and improve the visitor experience for Kāpiti Island.
April 2018	Stakeholder meetings commenced (continued for many months) with Kapiti Boating Club, Underwater Club and Coastguard regarding location option for a Gateway and whether there was the opportunity to partner with those organisations in their current site at the northern end of Maclean Park. Result of this was to commission TRC to do a feasibility study of viability of a gateway and location options.
2018	Council approved the Kāpiti Coast Toitū Kāpiti 2018–38 Long-term Plan which included the direction to further investigate the feasibility of building a Kāpiti Island Gateway Centre.  Council workshops undertaken for potential PGF priority projects. A Kapiti Island Gateway Centre at Maclean Park was identified as top priority. A Regional Advisory Group (RAG) formed to prioritise PGF applications for Kapiti and provide advice to the PGF on the significance and viability of potential projects, including the Kapiti Gateway. The RAG included seven people invited by the PGF and KCDC.

Year	Activities
2019	TRC revised feasibility study for Kāpiti Island Gateway Centre commenced. TRC Tourism met with several community stakeholders identified by KCDC over the course of three days to gain insights into their aspirations. The stakeholders were: DOC, Kapiti Island Nature Tours, Kapiti Eco Tours, KCDC, WellingtonNZ, Ngati Toa, Te Atiawa, Kapiti Boating Club, Kapiti Underwater Club, Kapiti Coastguard, Kapiti Economic Development Agency (KEDA), Kapiti Chamber of Commerce, Paraparaumu Beach Business Association, Guardians of the Kapiti Maritime Reserve, Victoria University School of Architecture. TRC Tourism presented the Draft feasibility study back to stakeholders to test the recommendations of its report.
2020	Feasibility study feedback from stakeholders was finalised and feasibility study confirmed. The location was identified in the 2017 Maclean Management Park Management Plan. The site was also agreed with the community groups consulted due to the departure points of the commercial boats and that it has the potential to be well integrated into the design of Maclean Park. It was not supported that it was a facility exclusively servicing visitors to Kāpiti Island.
Mar 2020	<p>KCDC formed a Governance group to steer the project.</p> <p>Athfield Architects selected as the architect for the Gateway/Te Uruhi.</p> <p>A Community advisory group was set up to review the design. The advisory group was only able to meet once before lockdown, with one Zoom meeting during. At the end of the first meeting a vote was held and it was agreed that they wanted project to go ahead . Concept design needed to be complete so resource consent could be lodged for the PGF application.</p>
<b>COVID 19 LOCKDOWN 25 MARCH TO 13 MAY 2020</b>	
May 2020	Because the project was already included in the Long-term Plan and had been subject to community consultation, it was considered to be a good candidate for the Government’s Provincial Growth Fund. Council’s application for support from the fund (now known as the COVID-19 Response and Recovery Fund). Council decide to make an application to the PGF for \$2.23m (50% of the estimated project cost – based on the initial concept design).
May/Jun 2020	Original resource consent lodged (was later put on hold following multiple RFI’s)
Jun 2020	A draft business case was developed by the Giblin Group.
Jul 2020	Mana Whenua assessment received from Te Ātiawa.

Year	Activities
Aug 2020	Confirmation received that KCDC will receive funding from the COVID response and recovery fund (moved from the PGF).
Sep 2020	Cultural values assessment received from Ngāti Toa
Feb 2021	Councillors voted on 25 February 2021 to proceed with the Gateway based on evidence as well as testimonies from business leaders, mana whenua and the community. The name 'Te Uruhi' was gifted from all three iwi at this council meeting.
May 2021	A second Governance Board was established (superseded the previous group); to oversee the design and build. Representation from Te Ātiawa and Ngāti Toa The business case updated and reviewed by PwC.
Apr-Dec 2021	Review of concept design to take in to account feedback from key stakeholders. Worked with tour operators X2, DoC and iwi partners to come to the current design; reduced area for the biosecurity centre (as per best practice) and increased area for the Discovery Centre.
Sep 2021	The Destination Management Plan was approved by Council in September 2021. The Kāpiti Coast Tourism Advisory Board has been appointed to lead the implementation of tourism projects highlighted in the district's Destination Management Plan. The Destination Management Plan identifies Te Uruhi as a facility which can contribute towards district wide tourism growth, not just as a facility for Kāpiti Island trips. It also identifies that the facility will provide a physical story telling place for the Kāpiti story and provide information on how visitors into the region can engage with aspects of the story that resonate with them the most.
Sep 2021	Tikotu Stream works commence Tender for the main contractor (Early Contractor Involvement) undertaken. Local company Focus Projects Ltd (FPL) appointed late 2021. Art of Fact appointed through a tender process to design the layout and content of the Discovery Centre. Design of the Discovery Centre is ongoing with mana whenua partners, DOC, tour operator (met with both but now only 1), local historian, guardians of the marine reserve and the Tourism Board. (Mana whenua have approved the framework concept; there is work to be done with regard to gathering stories but that has paused for now).
Dec 2021	Art of Fact appointed through a tender process to design the layout and content of the Discovery Centre.

Year	Activities
Jan 2022	Design of the Discovery Centre is ongoing with mana whenua partners, DOC, tour operator (met with both but now only 1), local historian, guardians of the marine reserve and the Tourism Board. (Mana whenua have approved the framework concept; there is work to be done with regard to gathering stories but that has paused for now).
Feb 2022	Updated resource consent lodged; prior to lodgment contacted residents we thought could be considered affected parties and offered to meet with them. Met with two in person and spoke with one on the phone.
Apr 2022	Stream works construction complete (planting completed in September).
May 2022	Resource Consent limited notification public notice published.
May 2022	Briefing to Council about the updated design / discovery centre and escalated cost; Council indicated staff should continue with the project but seek to significantly reduce the shortfall through external funding.
Jun 2022	Additional funding approved via the 22/23 annual plan; with an additional resolution; <i>“That the final capex budget for Te Uruhi be subject to approval prior to tender by Council once the outcome of the resource consenting process is known and newly updated costings have been made available and requests an additional report to that effect”</i>
Sep 2022	Developed design complete / aspects of detailed design commenced.
3-4 Oct 2022	Resource Consent hearing.
Oct 2022	Confirmed withdrawal of the proposed southern carpark from the resource consent.
Dec 2022	Resource consent granted.

**7.2 NOTICE OF MOTION -TE URUHI PROJECT**

I, Councillor Liz Koh, give notice that at the next Meeting of Council to be held on 2 March 2023, I intend to move the following motion:

**RATIONALE**

**BACKGROUND (Under clause 23.1(d)) and compliance with sections 77-82 LGA**

There has been considerable public backlash against Kapiti Council over this project and very little public support. Over the recent election period there was overwhelming public condemnation of the project and again there was no discernible support. This was also largely the position of candidates for all positions who, with very few exceptions, spoke against continuing the project and strongly advocated to have the project stopped at the earliest opportunity.

The original consultation was largely opposed to the project.

There does appear to be demonstrable support for some of the components of the project; such as biosecurity — which in general around the country is in the hands of DOC.

The telling of the Iwi story also has favour — although it has not been clearly quantified as to what this means and there are several other viable options for telling the Iwi story.

The business case has never been convincing and cost overruns have been huge, causing significant reputational damage to Kapiti Council.

The discrepancy between the original costs and the likely but unquantified costs of continuing add a different dimension to the project.

This motion allows Council to draw a line under the project, repair relationships with the public and move on.

<p>Adoption of the 2022/23 Annual Plan</p>	<p>RESOLUTION CO2022/62</p> <p>Moved: Cr Robert McCann                      Seconder: Cr Jackie Elliott</p> <p>That the final capex budget for Te Uruhi be subject to approval prior to tender by Council once the outcome of the resource consenting process is known and newly updated costings have been made available and requests an additional report to that effect.</p> <p>CARRIED</p>	<p>Council 30/06/2022</p>
<p>Notice of Motion</p>	<p>MOTION</p> <p>Moved: Cr Gwynn Compton                      Seconder: Cr Bernie Randall</p> <p>The co-signatories below request the following notice of motion be on the agenda for Kapiti Coast District Council's meeting of 30 September 2021 for consideration by councillors. The motion is:</p> <p>1. While Council notes the independent review of the Kapiti Gateway Te Uruhi project was originally intended as a "lessons learnt review, given the delays to Council receiving the review which, depending on its findings, may require further actions or escalations from Council as deemed appropriate, Council requests that the Chief Executive prepares a report for Council's consideration,</p>	<p>Council 30/09/2021</p>

	<p>before any contracts are awarded for the construction of Te Uruhi's physical buildings, that details:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.1. Delays and changes to the resource consent application for the physical buildings of Te Uruhi</li> <li>1.2. An update to the business case to reflect possible impacts of any additional costs from</li> <li>1.3. resource consent delays, construction sector inflation since February 2021, and any other changes as needed</li> <li>1.4. options on pausing any further work on Te Uruhi beyond that already consented and</li> <li>1.5. contracted stream works to Titoku Stream until such time as the independent review has been completed, presented, and responded to by Council.</li> </ol> <p>2. Once the independent review has been received, presented, and responded to by Council, a second report is requested from the Chief Executive that will detail options for Council to reconsider Whether to proceed further with Te Uruhi beyond the already consented and contracted stream works to Titoku Stream, taking into account tile findings from the review on the business case and process, as well as points 1.1 and 1.2 above. This report would also be considered by Council before any contracts are awarded for the construction of Te Uruhi's physical buildings.</p> <p>CARRIED</p>	
<p>Findings from the Independent Process Review of the Kāpiti Gateway Centre Project</p>	<p>MOTION</p> <p>Moved: Deputy Mayor Janet Holborow                  Seconder: Cr Jackie Elliott</p> <p>For: Crs K Gurunathan, Janet Holborow, Angela Buswell, James Cootes, Jackie Elliott, Sophie Handford and Robert McCann                  Against: Nil                  Abstained: Crs Gwynn Compton, Jocelyn Prvanov, Martin Halliday and Bernie Randall</p> <p>CARRIED 7/0</p> <p>That Council:                  note the findings of the independent Process Review of Kapiti Gateway Centre Project;                  note the achievements of the project identified in the independent review;                  note a number of aspects have already changed or progressed in terms of how this project is being managed, as well as how we manage projects generally;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Te Uruhi is in a new phase of design and construction, managed by Council's Project Management Office (PMO);</li> <li>• the project governance arrangements have been reviewed, and an updated communications and engagement plan enacted;</li> <li>• enhancements are underway to Council's project management capacity and capability,</li> </ul>	<p>Council 28/10/2021</p>



	<p>including increased resources, updates to governance structures and processes, and reviewing internal monitoring and reporting.          note that additional resource into communications and engagement will not resolve all issues in terms of community views on this project; this is likely to continue to be challenging and requires consistent and coordinated messaging for the community;          note the independent review findings are being shared internally with relevant staff to ensure the learnings from the report can be applied to current and future projects.</p>	
<p>Kāpiti Gateway Project</p>	<p>RESOLUTION CO2021/10</p> <p>Moved: Deputy Mayor Janet Holborow          Seconder: Cr Jackie Elliott</p> <p>For: Crs K Gurunathan, Janet Holborow, Angela Buswell, James Cootes, Jackie Elliott, Jocelyn Prvanov, Martin Halliday, Sophie Handford and Robert McCann          Against: Crs Gwynn Compton and Bernie Randall          CARRIED 9/2</p> <p>That the Council notes that further work has been undertaken to consider feedback received, review and revise assumptions, assess options for financial sustainability and operating models.</p> <hr/> <p>RESOLUTION CO2021/11</p> <p>Moved: Deputy Mayor Janet Holborow          Seconder: Cr Jackie Elliott</p> <p>For: Crs K Gurunathan, Janet Holborow, Angela Buswell, James Cootes, Jackie Elliott, Jocelyn Prvanov, Martin Halliday, Sophie Handford and Robert McCann          Against: Crs Gwynn Compton and Bernie Randall          CARRIED 9/2</p> <p>That the Council notes that further engagement with key stakeholders has been carried out.</p> <hr/> <p>RESOLUTION CO2021/12</p> <p>Moved: Cr Angela Buswell          Seconder: Cr Sophie Handford</p> <p>That Council acknowledges and thanks Kāpiti iwi, Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai and Ngāti Toa Rangatira, who have combined to gift the name 'Te Uruhi' to the proposed Kāpiti Gateway.</p> <hr/> <p>RESOLUTION CO2021/13</p> <p>Moved: Cr Robert McCann          Seconder: Deputy Mayor Janet Holborow</p> <p>For: Crs K Gurunathan, Janet Holborow, Angela Buswell, James Cootes, Jackie Elliott, Sophie Handford and Robert McCann          Against: Crs Gwynn Compton, Jocelyn Prvanov, Martin Halliday and Bernie Randall</p>	<p>Council 25/02/2021</p>

	<p>CARRIED 7/4</p> <p>That the Council approves to proceed with the Kāpiti Gateway project, Te Uruhi, and for the project to proceed in accordance with the project plan, noting that the operating model and functions will be confirmed as part of the detailed design phase</p>	
	<p>RESOLUTION CO2021/14</p> <p>Moved: Mayor K Gurnathan                  Seconder: Deputy Mayor Janet Holborow</p> <p>For: Crs K Gurnathan, Janet Holborow, Angela Buswell, James Cootes, Jackie Elliott, Sophie Handford and Robert McCann                  Against: Crs Gwynn Compton, Jocelyn Prvanov, Martin Halliday and Bernie Randall                  CARRIED 7/4</p> <p>That the Council approve to provide up to 50% funding for the Kāpiti Gateway project (\$2.23m).</p>	

I commend this Notice of Motion to Council.

<p><b>MOTION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 That the Kapiti Coast District Council rescind and revoke all prior resolutions and amendments relating to the Kapiti Island Gateway – Te Uruhi project; (<i>see attached list of resolutions as supplied by KCDC as Under Standing Orders <b>clause 23.1(b)</b></i>)</li> <li>2 And further moves to request the Chief Executive to withdraw all resource consent applications relating to the project;</li> <li>3 And further moves the Chief Executive to instruct staff and contractors to cease all work on progressing the Kapiti Island Gateway – Te Uruhi project</li> </ol>
--


Moved by: Cr Liz Koh



Seconded by: Cr Martin Halliday




Supported by: Cr Glen Cooper



Cr Nigel Wilson



Cr Jocelyn Prvanov



Cr Shelly Warwick

Cr Rob Kofoed

Cr Sophie Handford

Cr Kathy Spiers

Cr Lawrence Kirby

**APPENDICES**

Nil

**8 TE WHAKAŪNGA O NGĀ ĀMIKI KĀORE E WĀTEA KI TE MAREA |  
CONFIRMATION OF PUBLIC EXCLUDED MINUTES**

Nil